United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

Marsh 22, 2019

David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNIT	ED ST	ATES DI	ISTR	ICT COURT		SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
Danı	ny G S	haw, Jr. E	et al		§	
versus §					CIVIL ACTION No. 7:18cv372	
The	United	Mexican	State	es, et al	§	
				ORDER :	STRIKIN(G DOCUMENT
<u>Defen</u> Distri	dants (htiff's Motion for Extension of Time to Serve checked. (L.R. refers to the Local Rule of this
1.		Document is not signed (L.R. 11.3).				
2.		Document does not comply with L.R.11.3.A.				
3.		Caption of the document is incomplete (L.R.10.1).				
4.		No certificate of service or explanation why service is not required (L.R. 5.3).				
5.		Motion does not comply with L.R.7				
		a.		No statemen	it of oppos	sition or non-opposition (L.R. 7.1.D(2)).
		b.		No statemen	nt of confe	erence between counsel (L.R. 7.1.D(1)).
		c.		No separate	proposed	order attached (L.R. 7.1.C).
6.		Motion to consolidate does not comply with L.R.7.6.				
7.	X	Other: Does not include address including zip code under the signature (L.R. 11.3.A(5)				
Date	:	3/28	3/19	7		The document is stricken from the record. August H. Hinojosa U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE